IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3040 OF 2006

Kamrul Huda Sajjad .. Petitioner

Vs.

Slum Rehabilitation Authority
& Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.V.R.Tripathi i/b M/s.V.R.Tripathi & Associates for the petitioner

Mr.J.G.Aradwad (Reddy) for the respondent no.1

Mr.R.P.Singh for the respondent no.3

Mr.Pradeep Jadhav, A.G.P. for the respondent no.5

CORAM : J.N.PATEL, ACTING C.J. &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATED : 30TH MARCH, 2007

P.C.:

1. Heard.

2. The petitioner has approached this court seeking a direction against the respondents and a declaration to the effect that the petitioner is eligible for alternate accommodation of a shop of 99 sq.ft. in the building with width of 8 ft. or

thereabout. The petitioner has also sought a direction of this court against respondent nos. 1 and 2 to consider the appeal filed by the petitioner.

3. In response to the petition, the builder who has been entrusted with implementation of the scheme under SRA has filed an affidavit. The said affidavit filed by the builder is taken on record. It has been categorically stated that the petitioner has been provided a carpet area of 101.5 sq.ft. as per the plan sanctioned by respondent no.1 which is annexed to the reply.

4. Therefore, we find that the petitioner has been duly rehabilitated as per the scheme and has been given much more premises than what he was having, which according to the petitioner was 99 sq.ft. Therefore, we do not find any merits in the petition and the same is dismissed.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

SHRI S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J